

Polarized Lambda-Calculus at Runtime, Dependent Types at Compile Time

András Kovács

University of Gothenburg

11 June 2024, TYPES 2024, Copenhagen

Compiling monads today in Haskell

GHC's input:

```
f :: Reader Bool Int
f = do
  b ← ask
  if b then return 10
      else return 20
```

GHC's -O0 output:

```
dict1 :: Monad (Reader Int)
dict1 = MkMonad ...

dict2 :: MonadReader (Reader Int)
dict2 = MkMonadReader ...

f :: Reader Bool Int
f = (>>=) dict1 (ask dict2) (\b →
  case b of
    True → return dict1 10
    False → return dict1 20)
```

Compiling monads today in Haskell

GHC's -01 output:

```
f :: Bool → Int
f b = case b of
  True  → 10
  False → 20
```

- Elaboration to -00 is deterministic and relatively cheap.
- Going from -00 to -01 is **hard** and needs a lot of machinery.

Example: `mapM` is third-order, rank-2 polymorphic, but almost all usages should compile to first-order monomorphic code.

```
mapM :: Monad m => (a → m b) → [a] → m [b]
```

GHC has to guess the programmer's intent.

Doing it differently

Input in WIP language:

```
f : Reader Bool Int
f := do
  b ← ask
  if b then return 10
      else return 20
```

- Looks similar to Haskell.
- Desugaring & elaboration does slightly more work.
- Compiles to efficient code *deterministically, without general-purpose optimization.*

Doing it differently

Input in WIP language:

```
f : Reader Bool Int
f := do
  b ← ask
  if b then return 10
    else return 20
```

- Looks similar to Haskell.
- Desugaring & elaboration does slightly more work.
- Compiles to efficient code *deterministically, without general-purpose optimization.*

Main idea

- We use a *two-level type theory (2LTT)*:
 - Metalanguage (compile time): dependently typed, fancy features.
 - Object language (runtime): simpler & lower-level.
 - The two are smoothly integrated.
- Monadic programs are *metaprograms* which generate efficient runtime code.
- Most optimizations are implemented in libraries instead of compiler internals.

- **MetaTy**: universe of meta-level types. Supports Π , Σ , inductive families.
- **Ty**: universe of object-level types. Only simple types. Polarized to *computation & value* types.

A meta-level program:

```
id : {A : MetaTy} → A → A
id x = x
```

An object-level program:

```
data List (A : ValTy) := Nil | Cons A List

myMap : List Int → List Int
myMap ns := case xs of
  Nil      → Nil
  Cons n ns → Cons (n + 10) (myMap ns)
```

The 2LTT - interaction between stages

- **Lifting**: for $A : Ty$, we have $\uparrow A : \text{MetaTy}$, as the type of metaprograms that produce A-typed object programs.
- **Quoting**: for $t : A$ and $A : Ty$, we have $\langle t \rangle$ as the metaprogram which immediately returns t .
- **Splicing**: for $t : \uparrow A$, we have $\sim t : A$ which runs the metaprogram t and inserts its output in some object-level code.
- Definitional equalities: $\sim \langle t \rangle \equiv t$ and $\langle \sim t \rangle \equiv t$.

Staged example

```
map : {A B : ValTy} → (↑A → ↑B) → ↑(List A) → ↑(List B)
map f as = <letrec go as := case as of
           Nil      → Nil
           Cons a as → Cons ~(f <a>) (go as)
           in go ~as>
```

```
myMap : List Int → List Int
myMap ns := ~(map (λ x. <~x + 10>) <ns>)
```


Staged example - with stage inference

```
map : {A B : ValTy} → (A → B) → List A → List B
map f = letrec go as := case as of
      Nil      → Nil
      Cons a as → Cons (f a) (go as)
  in go
```

```
myMap : List Int → List Int
myMap := map (λ x. x + 10)
```

A monad for code generation

Type classes (and monads) only exist in the metalanguage.

```
class Monad (m : MetaTy → MetaTy) where
  return : a → m a
  (>>=)   : m a → (a → m b) → m b
```

A monad for code generation

Type classes (and monads) only exist in the metalanguage.

```
class Monad (m : MetaTy → MetaTy) where
  return : a → m a
  (>>=)   : m a → (a → m b) → m b
```

Gen is a Monad whose effect is **generating object code**:

```
newtype Gen A = Gen {unGen : {R : Ty} → (A → ↑R) → ↑R}
instance Monad Gen where ...
```

```
runGen : Gen (↑A) → ↑A
runGen (Gen f) = f id
```

A monad for code generation

Type classes (and monads) only exist in the metalanguage.

```
class Monad (m : MetaTy → MetaTy) where
  return : a → m a
  (>>=)   : m a → (a → m b) → m b
```

Gen is a Monad whose effect is **generating object code**:

```
newtype Gen A = Gen {unGen : {R : Ty} → (A → ↑R) → ↑R}
instance Monad Gen where ...
```

```
runGen : Gen (↑A) → ↑A
runGen (Gen f) = f id
```

Generating an object-level let-definition:

```
gen : {A : Ty} → ↑A → Gen ↑A
gen {A} a = Gen $ λ k. <let x : A := ~a in ~(k <x>)>
```

A monad for code generation

Staged input:

```
myAction : ↑Int → Gen ↑Int
myAction x = do
  y ← gen <~x + ~x>
  z ← gen <~y * ~y>
  return <~y * ~z>
```

```
foo : Int
foo := ~(runGen $ myAction <10>)
```

Output:

```
foo : Int
foo := let y := 10 + 10 in
       let z := y * y in
       y * z
```

Staging monads

We only program in meta-level monads, but also have back-and-forth translations between object-level types and metamonads.

```
down : ReaderT (↑R) Gen (↑A) → ↑(ReaderT◦ R Identity◦ A)
```

```
up   : ↑(ReaderT◦ R Identity◦ A) → ReaderT (↑R) Gen (↑A)
```

```
f : ReaderT◦ Bool Identity◦ Int
```

```
f := ~(down $ do
```

```
  b ← ask
```

```
  b' ← split b
```

```
  case b' of
```

```
    MetaTrue  → return <10>
```

```
    MetaFalse → return <20>)
```

In general: up/down is defined by recursion on a transformer stack. **Identity◦** is related to **Gen**.

Case splitting on object values

```
split : MonadGen m => ↑Bool → m MetaBool
split b = liftGen $ Gen $ λ k. <case ~b of
  True  → ~(k MetaTrue)
  False → ~(k MetaFalse)>
```

```
f : ReaderT ◦ Bool Identity ◦ Int
f := ~(down $ do
  b ← ask
  b' ← split b
  case b' of
    MetaTrue  → return <10>
    MetaFalse → return <20>)
```

Polarization & Closure-Freedom

Computation and *value* types are tracked in the object language.

```
 $\_ \rightarrow \_$       : ValTy  $\rightarrow$  Ty  $\rightarrow$  CompTy  
Closure      : CompTy  $\rightarrow$  ValTy  
List         : ValTy  $\rightarrow$  ValTy  
...
```

Closures only appear at runtime if we use Closure!

We have to use Closure (A \rightarrow B) to store functions in ADTs or pass them as function arguments.

(It's rare that closures are *really needed* in programming!)

Polarization & Closure-Freedom

How to compile this?

```
f : Bool → Int → Int
f b = case b of True  → λ x. x + 10
                False → λ x. x * 10
```

And this?

```
f : Int → Int
f x :=
  let g y := x + y;
  g x + 10
```

- Conditionally accepted at ICFP 24: *Closure-Free Functional Programming in a Two-Level Type Theory*.
- More things in paper: join points, stream fusion, semantics, more about polarized types.
- Implementations:
 - In Agda and typed Template Haskell with some limitations.
 - Standalone implementation early WIP.

Thank you!